

Pakistan Aid Foes Vow Fight

STAT

J
By ELAINE SCIOLINO

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, April 24 — Leading Congressional advocates of nuclear non-proliferation today promised a floor fight to reject an aid package for Pakistan that was approved by the Senate Foreign Relations Committee despite American intelligence reports that Pakistan is producing weapons-grade uranium.

But members of Congress acknowledged that they have little chance of winning enough support in the full House and Senate to reduce the aid.

By an 11-8 margin on Thursday, the Foreign Relations Committee approved a \$4.02 billion, six-year aid package for Pakistan that would start in the 1988 fiscal year. Senators Christopher J. Dodd of Connecticut and John Kerry of Massachusetts, both Democrats, joined the committee's nine Republicans in voting for the measure, which was widely seen as influenced by Pakistan's support for the anti-Communist insurgents in Afghanistan.

"When you have two global concerns like this in conflict, anti-Communism will always overwhelm and drown non-proliferation," said Senator Alan Cranston, Democrat of California. "This was an unfortunate and simplistic way of dealing with the problem."

'Horns of a Dilemma'

Senator Cranston was a co-sponsor of a plan to withhold \$100 million of the \$625 million in aid proposed for fiscal 1988 unless Pakistan stopped producing weapons-grade nuclear material.

Senator John Glenn, Democrat of Ohio, said that when the bill comes to

the Senate floor, he will call for a suspension of military aid to Pakistan unless the Administration can give "reliable assurances" that Pakistan has stopped making weapons-grade nuclear material.

"I'm on the horns of a dilemma," said Senator Glenn, who also supports the Afghan rebels. "But I'm just sick and tired of giving in."

Anti-proliferation advocates in the House, which in an earlier vote failed to cut aid to Pakistan, also vowed to press the issue when the foreign aid bill comes to the floor. Representatives Howard Wolpe of Michigan, Mel Levine of California and Edward F. Feighan of Ohio, all Democrats, are planning to call for a significant cut in military assistance to Pakistan in the absence of assurances that it is not producing weapons-grade material.

The only expression of concern by the Senate and House committees was an amendment criticizing Pakistan's nuclear weapons program and warning that it could have "adverse consequences" on its relationship with the United States.